

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/724,830 12/02/2003		2/02/2003	Joem Volker Weiss	240153US0 2816	
22850	7590	08/16/2006	EXAMINER		
C. IRVIN M			SELLERS, ROBERT E		
1940 DUKE	•	CLELLAND, MAI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDE		22314	1712		

DATE MAILED: 08/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			10/724,83	0	WEISS ET AL.						
			Examiner		Art Unit						
			Robert Sell	ers	1712						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).											
Status											
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on									
'—	•	·									
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is										
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Dispositi	on of Claims										
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.											
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.											
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.											
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.											
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
8)🛛	8) Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.										
Applicati	on Papers										
9)	The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.	•								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.											
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).											
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).											
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.											
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119										
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 											
2) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date)-948) (O/SB/08)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	O-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/724,830 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-21, drawn to a powder coating composition, classified in class 525, subclass 444.

 Claim 22, drawn to a method of coating, classified in class 427, subclass 385.5.

The inventions are independent or distinct from each other because:

2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as a method of molding an article.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification.

ř

Application/Control Number: 10/724,830 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- A) The crosslinkers such as Vestagon HA 320 hydroxyalkylamide identified on page 9, line 32 of the specification and used in Example 1 in the first table on page 10.
- B1) The amorphous polyesters wherein the dicarboxylic acid is selected from claim 5, the diol is selected from claim 7.
 - B2) The semi-crystalline polyesters wherein:
 - i) A dicarboxylic acid is selected from claim 9.
- ii) The presence or absence of the other dicarboxylic acid of claim 10 is indicated, wherein if its presence is elected, a particular species is identified from claim 11;
 - iii) A diol is selected from 12.
- iv) The presence or absence of the other polyol of claim 13 is indicated, wherein if its presence is elected, a particular species is identified from claim 14.

The species are independent or distinct because the myriad types of curing agents requires additional burdensome searches within class 525, and the diverse monomers employed in the polymerization of the polyesters necessitates further burdensome searches within class 528.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species within each of items A), B1) and B2) hereinabove for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, claims 1-22 are generic.

A reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species (MPEP § 809.02(a)).

The reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of an invention and species to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention and species.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,830 Page 5

Art Unit: 1712

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application.

Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Sellers whose telephone number is (571) 272-1093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert Sellers Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

Mux Illa